### Wilmington Public Schools



# 2019 MCAS Accountability and Achievement Executive Summary

October 2019

#### **Table of Contents**

## Wilmington Public Schools 2019 MCAS Accountability and Achievement *Executive Summary*

<b>DESE Accountability System Summary</b>	3
Indicators for Measurement	3
Weighting	4
Accountability Determinations	4
Subgroups	5
Participation	6
Categorization of Schools	6
Categorization of Districts	7
Wilmington District-Level Accountability Data	8
Wilmington District-Level Achievement Data	10
Shawsheen Elementary School Data	14
Woburn Street Elementary School Data	16
North Intermediate School Data	18
West Intermediate School Data	21
Wilmington Middle School Data	24
Wilmington High School Data	27
<b>Data from DART Comparison Districts</b>	30

#### **DESE Accountability System Summary**

#### Introduction

The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) employs a District and School Accountability System that is in accordance with the federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). According to the DESE, the Accountability System is designed to provide clear, actionable information to families, community members, and the public about district and school performance. It is also designed to help the DESE direct resources more effectively to districts and schools most in need of support and intervention. Highlights of the system include:

- The inclusion of accountability indicators which provide information about school performance and student opportunities beyond test scores;
- A focus on raising the performance of each district's or school's lowest performing students in addition to the performance of the district or school as a whole;
- Information on each school's progress toward improvement targets and data comparing individual schools and districts to like schools and districts across the state.

#### **Indicators for Measurement**

Under the DESE Accountability System, the performance of districts and schools will be measured using the following indicators:

Indicator	Measure
Achievement	<ul> <li>English language arts (ELA) achievement</li> <li>Mathematics achievement</li> <li>Science achievement</li> </ul>
Student Growth	<ul> <li>ELA mean student growth percentile (SGP)</li> <li>Mathematics mean SGP         <ul> <li>SGP is used to determine how a group of students' achievement grows or changes over time. SGP must meet or exceed 50 in order for a district, school or subgroup to be considered as "meeting target" for this indicator</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
High School Completion	<ul> <li>Four-year cohort graduation rate: This refers to the percentage of students who graduate within 4 years</li> <li>Extended engagement rate: This is the total of the five-year cohort graduation rate plus the percentage of students from the cohort still enrolled after 5 years</li> <li>Annual dropout rate: This shows the percentage of students who dropout of high school in a given school year. The annual accountability reports use the dropout rate from the previous school year.</li> </ul>
English Language Proficiency	• Progress made by English Learners (ELs) towards attaining English language proficiency (requires minimum of 20 students in order to be reported). This is measured by calculating the percentage of ELs who meet annual targets based on results from the ACCESS assessment.
Additional Indicators	<ul> <li>Chronic absenteeism. Students are considered chronically absent if they miss 10 % or more of their days in membership. This calculation includes both excused and unexcused absences and is applied to students in grades 1 through 12</li> <li>Percentage of 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students completing advanced coursework. This is the percentage of all students in grades 11 and 12 who pass at least one advanced course. Advanced courses include Advanced Placement courses, International Baccalaureate coursework, dual enrollment programs, or other selected rigorous math and science courses</li> </ul>

#### Weighting

The indicators above are weighted in accordance with the ESSA. The DESE considers weighting in terms of ratios not percentages. The DESE Accountability System assigns a 3 to 1 ratio of achievement to growth. The DESE provided the tables below to help districts understand the weighting system:

#### Non-High Schools

Indicator	Measures	Weighting (3:1)	
		With EL	No EL
Achievement	ELA, math, and science achievement	60%	67.5%
Student Growth	ELA and math SGP	20%	22.5%
English Language	Progress made by students towards	10%	
Proficiency	attaining English language proficiency		
Additional Indicators	Chronic absenteeism	10%	10%

#### High Schools

Indicator	Measures	Weightin	ng (3:1)
		With EL	No EL
Achievement	ELA, math, and science achievement	40%	47.5%
Student Growth	ELA and math SGP	20%	22.5%
High School	Four year cohort graduation rate;	20%	20%
Completion*	extended engagement rate; annual		
	dropout rate		
English Language	Progress made by students towards	10%	
Proficiency	attaining English language proficiency		
Additional Indicators	Chronic absenteeism; advanced	10%	10%
	coursework completion		
*To maintain the 3:1 ratio,	high school completion (20%) is combined with the a	chievement indica	ator (40%)

#### **Accountability Determinations**

The DESE classifies districts and schools with accountability determinations consisting of both normative (comparing local students with students across the state) and criterion referenced (indicates district or school progress towards meeting improvement targets) components. The normative component (known as the accountability percentile) is reported as a percentile from 1 to 99 and is calculated using all accountability indicators available for a school. The accountability percentile is calculated at the school level for the "all students" group only. There is no accountability percentile calculated at the district level.

The criterion referenced component is calculated using data from all students in the district or school as well as a subgroup identified as the lowest performing students in the district or school. In order to be included in the lowest performing subgroup, a student must have been enrolled in the school for at least two consecutive years – this helps control for transiency.

The DESE developed the table below to show which indicators will have improvement targets and which subgroups will have improvement targets.

Indicator	Non Hig	h Schools	High Schools		
	All Students	Lowest Performing Students	All Students	Lowest Performing Students	
ELA Achievement	<b>•</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	
Math Achievement	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	•	
Science Achievement	<b>*</b>		<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	
ELA SGP	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	
Math SGP	<b>•</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	•	
4-Year Cohort Graduation Rate			<b>*</b>		
Extended Engagement Rate			<b>+</b>		
Annual Dropout Rate			<b>*</b>		
EL Progress	<b>*</b>		<b>*</b>		
Chronic Absenteeism	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	
Advanced Coursework Completion			<b>*</b>		

The DESE assigns points to each indicator based on the table below.

Declined	No Change	Improved	Met Target	Exceeded Target	
0	1	2	3	4	

The following applies to each district and school:

- Actual points earned and total possible points will be reported for each indicator
- Points earned will be combined, weighted (see weighting chart on pages 4 and 5), and calculated into a percentage of possible points
- This will be done for the "all students" group as well as for the "lowest performing students" subgroup
- The percentages for these two groups will be averaged which will equal the district's or school's overall annual criterion-referenced target percentage. A <u>cumulative</u> criterion-referenced target percentage is then calculated with the 2018 annual target receiving a weight of 40% and the 2019 annual target receiving a weight of 60%. The goal is to earn a 75% or higher (meeting targets).

#### **Subgroups**

Accountability results are reported for subgroups provided that each subgroup has a minimum of 20 students in the group. The DESE has identified the following 11 subgroups:

American Indian or Alaskan Native	African American or Black
Asian	Hispanic of Latino
Multi-race, non-Hispanic or Latino	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
White	Economically disadvantaged
Current and former English Learners	Students with disabilities
High needs students	

#### **Participation**

The DESE will calculate a separate participation rate for ELA, mathematics, and science for each district and school with at least 20 students in a tested grade. Each district and school is expected to maintain a participation rate of 95% or higher for each subject area test. A sample participation rate calculation for a district for the "all students" group is below:

Crown	English language arts			Mathematics			Science		
Group	Enrolled	Assessed	%	Enrolled	Assessed	%	Enrolled	Assessed	%
All Students	1,030	1,010	98	1,033	1,021	99	424	416	98

At the subgroup level, assessment participation is calculated for the group as a whole, with all subjects combined (e.g., measuring the percentage of ELA, mathematics, and science tests combined that were taken by the group). Rates are calculated for each subgroup that has 20 or more students enrolled in at least one subject. A sample subgroup-level participation rate calculation is shown in the table below.

	English language arts		Mathe	matics	Scio	ence	(	)verall	
Group	Enrolled	Assessed	Enrolled	Assessed	Enrolled	Assessed	Total Enrolled	Total Assessed	%
Asian	118	105	119	119	49	48	286	272	95

Participation is calculated two ways for use in accountability determinations. First, the 2019 participation rate is calculated. If the actual 2019 participation rate is lower than 95 percent, that rate will be compared to the most recent two-year assessment participation rate for the group or subject (e.g., the sum of the 2018 and 2019 tested students, divided by the sum of the 2018 and 2019 enrolled students). The higher of the two resulting rates will be factored into the district's or school's overall accountability determination. This two-step approach minimizes the impact of a small number of non-participants in small subgroups.

#### **Categorization of Schools**

Schools will be categorized as "requiring assistance or intervention" or as "not requiring assistance or intervention." Additionally, the DESE will identify a small percentage of schools as "schools of recognition" for demonstrating success or improvement in achievement, growth and other areas. The DESE has develop the table below to show how schools will be placed into accountability categories.

Schools not	requiring assist	Schools requiring assistance or				
		intervention				
			(about 15%	of schools)		
Schools of	Meeting or	Substantial	Moderate	Limited or no	Focused /	Broad /
recognition	exceeding	progress	progress	progress	targeted	comprehensive
	targets	toward	toward	toward	support	support
		targets	targets	targets		

#### **Categorization of Districts**

Each district is classified based on the results of the district as a whole and its lowest performing students. The DESE has developed the table below to show how districts will be placed into accountability categories.

Distr	icts not requiring a	*	ring assistance or vention		
Meeting or	Substantial	Moderate	Limited or no	Focused /	Broad /
exceeding	progress toward	progress toward	progress toward	targeted support	comprehensive
targets	targets	targets	targets		support
Criterion-	Criterion-	Criterion-	Criterion-	*Low	*Underperforming
referenced	referenced	referenced	referenced	graduation rate	districts
target	target	target	target	*Low	*Chronically
percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	participation	underperforming
75-100	50-74	25-49	0-24		districts

#### **District Data for Wilmington - 2019**

#### **Accountability Data: District Level**

The tables below provide district-level accountability data as reported by the state for students in grades 3 through 8 and at the high school level. Data is provided for all students as well as the lowest performing 20% of students in the district and students in the "high needs" subgroup. The following bullets highlight important data points:

- The district was categorized as making "substantial progress toward targets" with a cumulative criterion-referenced target percentage falling in the 50 to 74 range for all students and for the students with disabilities subgroup.
- Math and science achievement exceeded targets for grades 3 through 8 for the "all students" group. At the high school, achievement for ELA, math, and science either met or exceeded targets for the "all students" group.
- The grades 3-8 lowest performing sub-group improved in ELA and exceeded targets in math achievement. The same group improved in ELA growth, and met targets in math growth.
- Achievement for the "high needs" subgroup was strong in grades 3-8, meeting targets in ELA and exceeding targets in math and science. Growth improved for this subgroup in ELA and growth targets were met for this subgroup in math.
- Chronic absenteeism data is mixed. High School students in the "high needs" subgroup exceeded targets while high school students in the lowest performing subgroup declined. Targets were met for this category for the grades 3-8 "all students" category.

		Gr	ades 3-8							
Indicator			idents (Grade:	s 3-8)	Lowest P	Lowest Performing (Grades 3-8)				
				Weight %	Points earned	Total possible	Weight %			
Achievement	ELA	2	4		2	4				
	Math	4	4		4	4				
	Science	4	4							
	Achievement Total	10	12	67.5	6	8	67.5			
Growth	ELA	2	4		2	4				
	Math	3	4		3	4				
	Growth Total	5	8	22.5	5	8	22.5			
Progress toward	EL Proficiency									
English proficiency	Total									
Additional	Chronic	3	4		1	4				
Indicators	Absenteeism									
	Additional	3	4	10	1	4	10			
	Indicators Total									
Weighted Total		8.2	10.3		5.3	7.6				
% of Possible Points		80				)%				
% of Possible Points b	% of Possible Points by Grade span			75% (Weight of Non-HS results: 71%)						
2019 Annual Criterion	71%									
Percentage (Includes a										
Cumulative criterion-	referenced target	65%								
percentage (2018 X 40	0%) + (2019 X 60%)		Sub	stantial progre	ess toward targ	gets				

		Grad	des 9 & 10								
Indicator		All stu	dents (High S	chool)	Lowest Pe	erforming (Hi	gh School)				
		Points earned	Total possible	Weight %	Points earned	Total possible	Weight %				
Achievement	ELA	4	4		1	4					
	Math	3	4		4	4					
	Science	3	4								
	Achievement Total	10	12	47.5	5	8	67.5				
Growth	ELA	3	4		1	4					
	Math	2	4		1	4					
	Growth Total	5	8	22.5	2	8	22.5				
High School Completion	4-Yr Cohort Graduation Rate	3	4								
	Extended Engagement Rate	3	4								
	Annual Dropout Rate	3	4								
	HS Completion Total	9	12	20.0							
Progress toward English proficiency	EL Proficiency Total										
Additional Indicators	Chronic Absenteeism	1	4		0	4					
	Advanced Coursework Completion	1	4								
	Additional Indicators Total	2	8	10	0	4	10				
Weighted Total		7.9	10.7		3.8	7.6					
% of Possible Points		74	%		50	)%					
% of Possible Points b		62% (Weight of HS results: 29%)									
2019 Annual Criterion Percentage (Includes a		71%									
Cumulative criterion-percentage (2018 X 46	referenced target	65% Substantial progress toward targets									

	Н	igh Needs	Subgroup.	District			
Indicator		High	Needs Subgr (Grades 3-8)			h Needs Subg (High School	
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %	Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %
Achievement	ELA	3	4		1	4	
	Math	4	4		1	4	
	Science	4	4		1	4	
	Achievement Total	11	12	67.5	3	12	47.5
Growth	ELA	2	4		2	4	
	Math	3	4		2	4	
	Growth Total	5	8	22.5	4	8	22.5
High School Completion	4-Yr Cohort Graduation Rate				4	4	
	Extended Engagement Rate				4	4	
	Annual Dropout Rate				0	4	
	HS Completion Total				8	12	20.0
Progress toward English proficiency	EL Proficiency Total						
Additional Indicators	Chronic Absenteeism	2	4		4	4	

	Advanced				4	4				
	Coursework									
	Completion									
	Additional	2	4	10.0	8	8	10.0			
	Indicators Total									
Weighted Total		8.8	10.3		4.2	10.7				
% of Possible Points b	y Grade Span	85	%		39	)%				
		(Weight of	f Non-HS		Weigh	t of HS				
		Results	: 70%)		Results	s: 30%)				
2019 Criterion-Refere	nced Target			729	%					
Percentage (High Nee	ds Grades 3-10)									
Cumulative criterion-	referenced target	66%								
percentage (2018 X 40	0%) + (2019 X 60%)		Sub	stantial progre	ss toward targ	gets				

#### **Achievement Data: District Level**

The tables below provide achievement data at the district-level for all students and for the high needs subgroup. Achievement data is presented with state comparisons and year-to-year local comparisons.

- With the exception of grade 5 ELA, the "all students" group in Wilmington performed better than the state average when combining the exceeding and meeting expectations categories (Next Gen) and advanced and proficient categories (Legacy).
- The average growth percentile was above the state average with the exception of grade 5 (ELA), grade 6 (ELA), grade 8 (ELA), and grade 10 (math). The lowest average student growth percentile is in grade 5 ELA (36.3).
- The percentage of students in the "all students category" not meeting expectations (Next Gen) or in the failing category (Legacy) is below the state average in all grades and subjects.

#### **State Comparison Data (District)**

	All St	udents (	Grades 3	3-10 (Ne	xt Gene	ration l	MCAS):	State C	ompar	ison	
Subject	Exce	eting or eding tations	Mee	rtially ting tations	% Not I Expect	Meeting tations		e Scaled ore		rage GP	# Stud
	Dist	State	Dist	State	Dist	State	Dist	State	Dist	State	
Gr 3 ELA	62	56	32	36	6	8	506.5	504.1			276
Gr 3 Math	57	49	36	38	7	13	503.2	499.4			278
Gr 4 ELA	62	52	34	39	4	9	505.5	501.8	50.3	49.7	211
Gr 4 Math	68	50	28	39	3	12	506.8	499.2	58.6	49.8	211
Gr 5 ELA	50	52	45	39	5	9	499.8	501.2	36.3	50.0	297
Gr 5 Math	74	48	23	42	3	10	507.9	498.5	56.4	50.0	298
Gr 5 Science	50	49	45	39	5	12	499.9	498.9			298
Gr 6 ELA	57	53	36	33	6	13	505.2	501.3	46.3	50.0	264
Gr 6 Math	70	52	24	38	7	10	509.4	500.8	53.0	50.0	261

Gr 7	57	48	34	38	9	13	502.9	499.0	52.8	49.9	271
ELA											
Gr 7	63	48	29	39	9	13	508.2	498.2	58.2	50.1	271
Math											
Gr 8	67	52	27	35	6	14	506.8	499.8	46.6	49.9	251
ELA											
Gr 8	69	46	25	41	6	12	510.8	499.0	55.6	49.9	251
Math											
Gr 8	56	46	37	41	7	13	503.8	498.2			250
Science											
G10	77	61	19	31	4	8	515.5	506.2	50.0	49.4	199
ELA											
G10	71	59	26	33	4	9	510.5	505.1	47.5	49.7	198
Math											

	All Students Grades 9 and 10 (Legacy MCAS): State Comparison												
Subject	% Profi	cient or	% N	eeds	% Wa	rning/	C	PI	Avg.	SGP	# Studs		
	Hig	her	Improv	vement	Fail	ling							
	Dist	State	Dist				Dist	State					
Gr 10	85	74	11	<b>11</b> 20		5	93.5	89.1			191		
STE													

Hi	gh Need	ls Subgr	oup Gro	ades 3-1	0 (Next	Genera	tion MC	CAS): St	ate Co	mparis	on
Subject	Exce	eting or eding tations		rtially eting tations		Meeting tations		Scaled ore	Avg.	SGP	# Studs
	Dist	State	Dist	State	Dist	State	Dist	State	Dist	State	
Gr 3 ELA	36	39	47	47	17	14	494.4	495.3			94
Gr 3 Math	32	32	49	45	19	22	491.0	489.9			94
Gr 4 ELA	42	33	44	51	15	16	495.1	492.9	48.1	46.1	62
Gr 4 Math	34	32	55	47	11	21	493.5	490.0	55.3	46.7	62
Gr 5 ELA	31	34	56	51	13	16	491.9	492.2	39.1	48.1	86
Gr 5 Math	39	30	48	52	11	18	495.3	489.4	51.6	47.2	87
Gr 5 Science	30	30	57	48	13	22	491.9	489.0			87
Gr 6 ELA	24	33	55	43	20	24	488.4	489.3	37.7	47.7	83
Gr 6 Math	40	32	40	49	20	19	493.1	490.2	50.2	47.7	83
Gr 7 ELA	23	27	50	48	27	25	485.6	487.7	44.8	47.5	84
Gr 7 Math	31	26	42	49	27	25	488.5	486.3	57.3	48.4	84
Gr 8 ELA	38	28	41	45	21	26	493.1	486.7	47.5	47.4	58
Gr 8 Math	34	24	40	52	26	24	495.0	486.9	56.6	48.1	58
Gr 8 Science	28	24	52	52	21	24	491.3	486.0			58
Gr 10 ELA	45	36	37	46	19	18	498.9	492.6	42.3	43.6	43
Gr 10 Math	36	33	48	48	17	19	495.8	491.6	43.1	44.8	42

High Needs Subgroup Grades 9 & 10 (Legacy MCAS): State Comparison												
Subject	% Profi	icient or	% N	eeds	% Fa	ailing	C	PI	Avg.	# Studs		
_	Hig	her	Impro	vement		_						
	Dist	State	Dist	1		State	Dist	State	Dist	State		
Gr 10	59	52	23	<b>23</b> 35		12	77.6	79.0			39	
STE												

#### **Year-to-Year Comparison (District)**

Subject		eting or Ex Expectation			artially Me Expectation		% Not I	Meeting Exp	oectations
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019
Gr 3 ELA	53	60	62	40	36	32	6	4	6
Gr 3 Math	63	59	57	30	35	36	7	6	7
Gr 4 ELA	62	64	62	35	31	34	3	5	4
Gr 4 Math	66	69	68	29	26	28	5	5	3
Gr 5 ELA	54	54	50	40	34	45	6	2	5
Gr 5 Math	61	46	74	31	34	23	8	5	3
Gr 5 Science			50			45			5
Gr 6 ELA	70	51	57	27	38	36	4	8	6
Gr 6 Math	66	47	70	29	30	24	5	8	7
Gr 7 ELA	56	46	57	36	37	34	8	9	9
Gr 7 Math	54	46	63	40	27	28	6	6	9
Gr 8 ELA	59	51	67	37	34	27	4	13	6
Gr 8 Math	55	50	69	38	31	25	7	8	6
Gr 8 Science			56			37			7
Gr 10 ELA			77			19			4
Gr 10 Math			71			26			4

All St	All Students Grades 9 & 10 (Legacy MCAS): Year-to-Year Comparison										
Subject	% Pro	% Proficient or Higher % Needs Improvement % Failing									
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017 2018 2		2019		
Gr 9/10 STE	77	79	85	21	17	11	2	4	4		

Subject		eting of Exe Expectation			artially Me Expectation		% Not I	Meeting Exp	pectations
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019
Gr 3 ELA	28	33	36	52	53	47	20	15	17
Gr 3 Math	37	30	32	44	50	49	20	20	19
Gr 4 ELA	27	39	42	63	45	44	10	16	15
Gr 4 Math	30	45	34	54	38	55	16	17	11
Gr 5 ELA	20	37	31	62	55	56	18	8	13
Gr 5 Math	28	27	40	46	58	48	26	15	11
Gr 5 Science			30			57			13
Gr 6 ELA	35	20	24	50	57	55	15	23	20
Gr 6 Math	26	25	40	54	50	40	19	25	20
Gr 7 ELA	21	23	23	54	46	50	24	21	27
Gr 7 Math	21	33	31	58	42	42	20	25	27
Gr 8 ELA	26	19	38	61	46	41	13	35	21
Gr 8 Math	20	25	34	57	52	40	23	24	26
Gr 8 Science			28			52			21
Gr 10 ELA			45			37			19
Gr 10 Math			36			48			17

High Needs Subgroup Grades 9 & 10 (Legacy MCAS): Year-to-Year Comparison											
Subject	% Proficient or Higher % Needs Improvement % Failing										
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019		
Gr 9/10 ST	33	43	59	52	42	23	15	15	18		

#### **Shawsheen Elementary School**

The following tables provide accountability and achievement data for the Shawsheen Elementary School. MCAS tests (ELA and math) were administered only to students in grade 3 at the Shawsheen. A lowest performing subgroup was not identified at the Shawsheen because the state requires students to be tested for two consecutive years in a single school in order to create that particular subgroup. Data for "high needs" students is used in this report. The high needs subgroup is comprised of students with disabilities, ELs, and economically disadvantaged students.

	Accountability	Data: Sha	wsheen G	rade 3 (Al	l Students	)			
Indicator		(Grad	All students de 3 - Shawsh	een)	Lowest Performing Students (Grade 3 - Shawsheen)				
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %	Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %		
Achievement	ELA	0	4		-				
	Math	0	4		-				
	Science								
	Achievement Total	0	8	90.0	-				
Growth	ELA								
	Math								
	Growth Total								
Progress toward English proficiency	EL Proficiency Total								
Additional Indicators	Chronic Absenteeism	0	4						
	Additional Indicators Total	4	4	10.0					
Weighted Total		0.0	7.6						
% of Possible Points		09	%		-	-			
2018 and 2019 Criteri Target Percentage	on-Referenced	2018 = 76% / 2019 = 0%							
Cumulative Criterion- Percentage (2018 X 4	30%  Moderate progress toward targets								

Ac	countability Data	ı: Shawsheen Grad	e 3 (High Needs Subgro	oup)				
Indicator	,		High Needs Students	•				
			(Grade 3 - Shawsheen)					
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %				
Achievement	ELA	0	4					
	Math	0	4					
	Science							
	Achievement Total	0	8	90.0				
Growth	ELA							
	Math							
	Growth Total							
Progress toward	EL Proficiency							
English proficiency	Total							
Additional	Chronic	0	4					
Indicators	Absenteeism							
	Additional	0	4	10.0				
	Indicators Total							
Weighted Total		0.0	7.6					
% of Possible Points			0%					
2018 and 2019 Criteri	on-Referenced	2018 = 97% / 2019 = 0%						
Target Percentage								
Cumulative Criterion-	Referenced Target	39%						
Percentage (2018 X 4	0%) + (2019 X 60%)	Moderate progress toward targets						

	Achievement Data State Comparison: Shawsheen Grade 3 (All Students)												
Subject and # Students	% Meeting and Exceeding Expectations		% Partially Meeting Expectations		% Not Meeting Expectations		Avg. Scaled Score		Avg. SGP		Ach %		
	Shaw	State	Shaw	State	Shaw	State	Shaw	State	Shaw	State			
Gr 3	54	56	40	36	6	8	503.4	504.1			45		
ELA													
(108)													
Gr 3	58	49	32	38	10	13	502.9	499.4			60		
Math													
(109)													

Achiev	Achievement Data Annual Comparison: Shawsheen Grade 3 (All Students)										
Subject and # Students	% Meeting or Exceeding Expectations			% Partially Meeting Expectations			% Not Meeting Expectations				
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019		
Gr 3 ELA (108)	40	55	54	51	38	40	9	6	6		
Gr 3 Math (109)	63	62	58	28	32	32	9	6	10		

Aci	Achievement Data State Comparison: Shawsheen Grade 3 (High Needs Subgroup)											
Subject and # Students	% Meeting and Exceeding Expectations		% Partially Meeting Expectations		% Not Meeting Expectations		Avg. Scaled Score		Avg. SGP		Ach %	
	Shaw	State	Shaw	State	Shaw	State	Shaw	State	Shaw	State		
Gr 3	27	39	57	47	17	14	490.5	495.3			21	
ELA												
(46)												
Gr 3	32	32	41	45	26	22	488.7	489.9			38	
Math												
(46)												

Achievemen	Achievement Data Annual Comparison: Shawsheen Grade 3 (High Needs Subgroup)										
Subject and # Students		ting or Exc Expectation			Partially Me Expectation		% Not Meeting Expectations				
	2017	1 1 1 1 1 1 1			2018	2019	2017	2018	2019		
Gr 3 ELA	15	32	27	53	43	57	32	25	17		
(46)											
Gr 3 Math	36	35	32	30	45	41	33	21	26		
(46)											

#### **Woburn Street Elementary School**

The tables below provide accountability and achievement data for the Woburn Street Elementary School. MCAS tests (ELA and math) were administered only to students in grade 3 at the Woburn Street. Like the Shawsheen, a lowest performing subgroup was not identified at the Woburn Street School because of the grade configuration. Data for the high needs subgroup is included.

	Accountability I	Data: Wobi	ırn Street	Grade 3 (A	All Studen	ts)			
Indicator		(Grade	All students 3 – Woburn S	Street)	Lowest Performing Students (Grade 3 – Woburn Street)				
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %	Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %		
Achievement	ELA	3	4						
	Math	2	4						
	Science	-							
	Achievement Total	5	8	90.0	-				
Growth	ELA	1			-				
	Math	1			-				
	Growth Total	1			-				
Progress toward English proficiency	EL Proficiency Total			-1			-1		
Additional Indicators	Chronic Absenteeism	3	4						
	Additional Indicators Total	3	4	10.0					
Weighted Total		4.8	7.6						
% of Possible Points		63	%		-				
2018 and 2019 Criteri Target Percentage	on-Referenced	2018 = 39% / 2019 = 63%							
Cumulative Criterion- Percentage (2018 X 4	54% Substantial progress toward targets								

Acco	ountability Data:	Woburn Street Gra	de 3 (High Needs Sub	ogroup)				
Indicator			High Needs Students (Grade 3 - Shawsheen)					
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %				
Achievement	ELA	1	4					
	Math	4	4					
	Science							
	Achievement Total	5	8	90.0				
Growth	ELA							
	Math							
	Growth Total							
Progress toward	EL Proficiency							
English proficiency	Total							
Additional	Chronic	2	4					
Indicators	Absenteeism							
	Additional	2	4	10.0				
	Indicators Total							
Weighted Total		4.7	7.6					
% of Possible Points		6	52%					
2018 and 2019 Criteri	on-referenced Target		2018 = 50% / 2019 = 62%					
Percentage								
Cumulative Criterion-		57%						
Percentage (2018 X 4)	0%) + (2019 X 60%)	Substantial progress toward targets						

	Achievement Data State Comparison: Woburn Street Grade 3 (All Students)											
Subject and # Students	% Meeting and Exceeding Expectations		% Partially Meeting Expectations		% Not Meeting Expectations		Avg. Scaled Score		Avg. SGP		Ach %	
	Wob	State	Wob	State	Wob	State	Wob	State	Wob	State		
Gr 3	71	56	24	36	5	8	509.8	504.1			72	
ELA												
(145)												
Gr 3	57	49	39	38	4	13	503.8	499.4			63	
Math												
(145)												

Achieve	Achievement Data Annual Comparison: Woburn Street Grade 3 (All Students)											
Subject and # Students		% Meeting or Exceeding Expectations			artially Me Expectation	0	% Not Meeting Expectations					
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019			
Gr 3 ELA (145)	64	65	71	32	31	24	4	0	5			
Gr 3 Math (145)	64	57	57	31	39	39	4	4	4			

Achi	Achievement Data State Comparison: Woburn Street Grade 3 (High Needs Subgroup)											
Subject and # Students	% Meeting and Exceeding Expectations		% Par Mee	•	% Not Meeting Expectations		Avg. Scaled Score		Avg. SGP		Ach %	
Students	Wob	State	Wob	State	Wob	State	Wob	State	Wob	State		
Gr 3 ELA	51	39	35	47	14	14	499.4	495.3			62	
(43)												
Gr 3 Math (43)	33	32	58	45	9	22	494.3	489.9	1		62	

Achievement	Achievement Data Annual Comparison: Woburn Street Grade 3 (High Needs Subgroup)										
Subject and # Students		ting or Exe Expectation		% Partially Meeting Expectations			% Not Meeting Expectations				
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019		
Gr 3 ELA (43)	37	34	51	51	66	35	12	0	14		
Gr 3 Math (43)	38	28	33	52	59	58	10	14	9		

#### **North Intermediate School**

The following tables represent accountability and achievement data for the North Intermediate School. Students were tested in grade 4 (ELA and math) and in grade 5 (ELA, math, and science). Students at the North have growth scores because they have multiple years of test data attributed to them. A lowest performing subgroup was also identified. This group is comprised of grade 5 students only as the identification of this subgroup requires data from 2 consecutive years of student results in the same school.

Acco	ountability Data:	North Inte	ermediate	Grades 4 &	& 5 (All Si	tudents)				
Indicator	·	(Grad	All students des 4 & 5 – N	orth)	Lowest Performing Students (Grade 5 – North)					
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %	Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %			
Achievement	ELA	0	4		0	4				
	Math	1	4		4	4				
	Science	3	4							
	Achievement Total	4	12	67.5	4	8	67.5			
Growth	ELA	1	4		1	4				
	Math	3	4		3	4				
	Growth Total	4	8	22.5	4	8	22.5			
Progress toward English proficiency	EL Proficiency Total			-						
Additional Indicators	Chronic Absenteeism	2	4		4	4				
	Additional Indicators Total	2	4	10.0	4	4	10.0			
Weighted Total		3.8	10.3		4.0	7.6				
% of Possible Points		37	%		53	3%				
2018 and 2019 Criteri Target Percentage	on-Referenced	2018 = 59% / 2019 = 45%								
Cumulative Criterion- Percentage (2018 X 4		50% Substantial progress toward targets								

Accounta	bility Data: North	Intermediate Gra	des 4 & 5 (High Needs	Subgroup)					
Indicator			High Needs Students						
			(Grades 4 & 5 – North)						
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %					
Achievement	ELA	0	4						
	Math	0	4						
	Science	4	4						
	Achievement Total	4	12	67.5					
Growth	ELA	1	4						
	Math	3	4						
	Growth Total	4	8	22.5					
Progress toward	EL Proficiency								
English proficiency	Total								
Additional	Chronic	0	4						
Indicators	Absenteeism								
	Additional	0	4	10.0					
	Indicators Total								
Weighted Total		3.6	10.3						
% of Possible Points		,	35%						
2018 and 2019 Criteri	on-Referenced Target	erenced Target 2018 = 68% / 2019 = 35%							
Percentage	-								
Cumulative Criterion-	Referenced Target	48%							
Percentage (2018 X 4	0%) + (2019 X 60%)	Moderate progress toward targets							

Achi	evement	t Data S	tate Coi	npariso	n: North	n Interm	ediate (	Grades 4	4 & 5 (A	ll Stude	ents)
Subject and # Students	% Meet Excee Expect	eding	Mee	rtially eting tations	% Not I	Meeting tations	Avg. Scaled Score		Avg. SGP		Ach %
	North	State	North	State	North	State	North	State	North	State	
Gr 4 ELA (98)	66	52	34	39	0	9	507.1	501.8	49.9	49.7	69
Gr 4 Math (98)	69	50	29	39	2	12	506.2	499.2	60.7	49.8	74
Gr 5 ELA (165)	56	52	40	39	4	9	501.7	501.2	30.9	50.0	49
Gr 5 Math (166)	76	48	23	42	1	10	508.4	498.5	57.0	50.0	81
Gr 5 Science (166)	53	49	43	39	4	12	501.5	498.9			55

Achievement	Achievement Data Annual Comparison: North Intermediate Grades 4 & 5 (All Students)											
Subject and # Students		ting or Exe Expectation	_		Partially Me Expectation	U	% Not Meeting Expectations					
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019			
Gr 4 ELA (98)	67	76	66	32	22	34	0	1	0			
Gr 4 Math (98)	72	70	69	27	26	29	2	3	2			
Gr 5 ELA (165)	56	68	56	42	31	40	1	1	4			
Gr 5 Math (166)	62	64	76	33	35	23	1	1	1			
Gr 5 Science (166)			53			43			4			

Achi	ievemen	t Data S	state Co	mpariso	n: Nortl	h Intern	iediate (	Grades 4	4 & 5 (H	ligh Ne	eds)
Subject and # Students		ting and eding tations	% Partially Meeting Expectations			% Not Meeting Expectations		Scaled ore	Avg. SGP		Ach %
	North	State	North	State	North	State	North	State	North	State	
Gr 4 ELA (32)	53	33	47	51	0	16	500.8	492.9	48.3	46.1	80
Gr 4 Math (32)	34	32	59	47	6	21	494.8	490.0	55.8	46.7	62
Gr 5 ELA (46)	39	34	54	51	7	16	495.1	492.2	33.6	48.1	44
Gr 5 Math (46)	45	30	51	52	4	18	497.1	489.4	50.7	47.2	45
Gr 5 Science (47)	34	30	60	48	6	22	495.8	489.0			69

Achievement	Achievement Data Annual Comparison: North Intermediate Grades 4 & 5 (High Needs)											
Subject and # Students		ting or Exe	_		artially Me Expectation	0	% Not Meeting Expectations					
# Students	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019			
Gr 4 ELA (32)	41	53	53	59	43	47	0	4	0			
Gr 4 Math (32)	43	53	34	51	37	59	5	10	6			
Gr 5 ELA (46)	29	50	39	66	47	54	5	3	7			
Gr 5 Math (46)	42	36	45	53	61	51	5	3	4			
Gr 5 Science (47)			34			60			6			

#### **West Intermediate School**

The following tables represent accountability and achievement data for the West Intermediate School. Students were tested in grade 4 (ELA and math) and in grade 5 (ELA, math, and science). Students at the West have growth scores because they have multiple years of test data attributed to them. A lowest performing subgroup was also identified. This subgroup is made up of a cohort of students who tested in 4<sup>th</sup> grade in the spring of 2018 and then in 5<sup>th</sup> grade in the spring of 2019.

Acc	ountability Data:	: West Inte	rmediate (	Grades 4 &	5 (All St	udents)				
Indicator	·	(Grae	All students des 4 & 5 – W	vest)	Lowest Performing Students (Grade 5 – West)					
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %	Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %			
Achievement	ELA	1	4		4	4				
	Math	3	4		4	4				
	Science	4	4							
	Achievement Total	8	12	67.5	8	8	67.5			
Growth	ELA	2	4		3	4				
	Math	3	4		3	4				
	Growth Total	5	8	22.5	6	8	22.5			
Progress toward English proficiency	EL Proficiency Total									
Additional Indicators	Chronic Absenteeism	0	4		4	4				
	Additional Indicators Total	0	4	10.0	4	4	10.0			
Weighted Total		6.5	10.3		7.2	7.6				
% of Possible Points		63	%		95	5%				
2018 and 2019 Criteri Target Percentage	on-Referenced	renced 2018 = 75% / 2019 = 79%								
Cumulative Criterion-		77%								
Percentage (2018 X 4	0%) + (2019 X 60%)	Meeting or exceeding targets								

Accounte	ability Data: West	Intermediate Grad	des 4 & 5 (High Needs	Subgroup)				
Indicator	·		High Needs Students					
			(Grades 4 & 5 – West)					
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %				
Achievement	ELA	3	4					
	Math	4	4					
	Science	4	4					
	Achievement Total	11	12	67.5				
Growth	ELA	2	4					
	Math	3	4					
	Growth Total	5	8	22.5				
Progress toward	EL Proficiency							
English proficiency	Total							
Additional	Chronic	0	4					
Indicators	Absenteeism							
	Additional	0	4	10.0				
	Indicators Total							
Weighted Total		8.6	10.3					
% of Possible Points			84%					
2018 and 2019 Criteri	on-Referenced Target		2018 = 89% / 2019 = 84%					
Percentage								
Cumulative Criterion-	Referenced Target	86%						
Percentage (2018 X 4	0%) + (2019 X 60%)	Meeting or exceeding targets						

Ach	ievemen	t Data S	State Co	mpariso	n: West	Interm	ediate G	Grades 4	& 5 (A	ll Stude	nts)
Subject and #		ing and		rtially ting	% Not I Expect	0	U	Scaled ore	Avg.	SGP	Ach %
Students	Expectations		Expectations		Expectations		50010				
	West	State	West	State	West	State	West	State	West	State	
Gr 4	59	52	34	39	7	9	504.1	501.8	50.3	49.7	57
ELA (107)											
Gr 4 Math (107)	69	50	28	39	3	12	508.1	499.2	57.1	49.8	79
Gr 5 ELA (124)	44	52	52	39	4	9	498.3	501.2	43.7	50.0	37
Gr 5 Math (124)	75	48	21	42	4	10	508.6	498.5	56.2	50.0	81
Gr 5 Science (124)	48	49	47	39	5	12	499.0	498.9			45

Achievement	Achievement Data Annual Comparison: West Intermediate Grades 4 & 5 (All Students)											
Subject and	% Mee	ting or Ex	ceeding	% P	artially Me	eting	% Not Meeting Expectations					
# Students	E	Expectation	ıs	]	Expectation	IS						
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019			
Gr 4 ELA	58	48	59	37	42	34	5	9	7			
(107)												
Gr 4 Math	61	69	69	31	25	28	8	6	3			
(107)												
Gr 5 ELA	54	59	44	37	38	52	10	3	4			
(124)												
Gr 5 Math	57	61	75	28	32	21	14	7	4			
(124)												
Gr 5 Science			48			47			5			
(124)												

Ach	ievemen	it Data .	State Co	mpariso	on: West	Interm	ediate (	Grades 4	4 & 5 (H	igh Nee	eds)
Subject and # Students	% Meet Exce Expect	eding	% Par Mee Expect	ting	% Not Meeting Expectations		Avg. Scaled Score		Avg.	SGP	Ach %
	West	State	West	State	West	State	West	State	West	State	
Gr 4 ELA (27)	33	33	37	51	30	16	488.9	492.9	45.8	46.1	22
Gr 4 Math (27)	37	32	52	47	11	21	494.5	490.0	56.2	46.7	26
Gr 5 ELA (33)	27	34	58	51	15	16	490.6	492.2	47.8	48.1	35
Gr 5 Math (33)	42	30	42	52	15	18	496.6	489.4	54.8	47.2	72
Gr 5 Science (33)	30	30	55	48	15	22	489.7	489.0	-		42

Achievement	t Data A	nnual C	omparis	on: Wes	t Interme	diate Gr	ades 4 &	5 (High	Needs)	
Subject and		ting or Ex	0		artially Me	0	% Not Meeting Expectations			
# Students	2017	Expectation 2018	2019	<b>Expectations</b> 2017 2018 <b>2019</b>			2017	2018	2019	
Gr 4 ELA (27)	15	20	33	69	45	37	15	34	30	
Gr 4 Math (27)	15	37	37	62	40	52	23	23	11	
Gr 5 ELA (33)	15	26	27	57	64	58	28	10	15	
Gr 5 Math (33)	17	21	42	41	56	42	41	23	15	
Gr 5 Science (33)			30			55			15	

#### Wilmington Middle School

The tables below provide MCAS accountability and achievement data for Wilmington Middle School. Students were tested in grade 6 (ELA and math), grade 7 (ELA and math) and grade 8 (ELA, math, and science). Growth and achievement targets were improved, met, or exceeded across all subject areas for both the "all students" group and the "lowest performing" subgroup.

Accoun	Accountability Data: Wilmington Middle School Grades 6-8 (All Students)											
Indicator	·	(Grade	All students s 6, 7, & 8 – V	WMS)		Performing S es 6, 7, & 8 –						
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %	Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %					
Achievement	ELA	4	4		3	4						
	Math	4	4		3	4						
	Science	4	4									
	Achievement Total	12	12	67.5	6	8	67.5					
Growth	ELA	2	4		2	4						
	Math	3	4		3	4						
	Growth Total	5	8	22.5	5	8	22.5					
Progress toward English proficiency	EL Proficiency Total											
Additional Indicators	Chronic Absenteeism	4	4		0	4						
	Additional Indicators Total	4	4	10.0	0	4	10.0					
Weighted Total		9.6	10.3		5.2	7.6						
% of Possible Points		93	%		68	3%						
2018 and 2019 Criteri Target Percentage	on-Referenced	2018 = 33% / 2019 = 81%										
Cumulative Criterion- Percentage (2018 X 4		62% Substantial progress toward targets										

Accountabil	ity Data: Wilming	gton Middle School	Grades 6-8 (High Ne	eeds Subgroup)				
Indicator			High Needs Students					
			(Grades 6, 7, & 8 – WMS)					
		Points earned	Weight %					
Achievement	ELA	4	4					
	Math	4	4					
	Science	4	4					
	Achievement Total	12	12	67.5				
Growth	ELA	2	4					
	Math	3	4					
	Growth Total	5	8	22.5				
Progress toward	EL Proficiency							
English proficiency	Total							
Additional	Chronic	4	4					
Indicators	Absenteeism							
	Additional	4	4	10.0				
	Indicators Total							
Weighted Total		9.6	10.3					
% of Possible Points			11%					
2018 and 2019 Criteri	on-Referenced Target	2018 = 11% / 2019 = 93%						
Percentage								
Cumulative Criterion-	Referenced Target	60%						
Percentage (2018 X 4	0%) + (2019 X 60%)	Substantial progress toward targets						

Achieve	ement D	ata Stat	e Comp	arison:	Wilming	gton Mid	ddle Sch	nool Gra	ades 6-8	(All Stu	idents)
Subject and # Students	Exce	ting and eding tations	Mee	rtially eting tations		% Not Meeting Expectations		Scaled ore	Avg. SGP		Ach %
	WMS	State	WMS	State	WMS	State	WMS	State	WMS	State	
Gr 6 ELA (252)	69	53	36	33	5	13	505.9	501.3	46.5	50.0	64
Gr 6 Math (249)	71	52	24	38	5	10	510.4	500.8	53.2	50.0	82
Gr 7 ELA (266)	57	48	34	38	9	13	502.8	499.0	52.8	49.9	64
Gr 7 Math (266)	63	48	28	39	9	13	508.2	498.2	58.0	50.1	81
Gr 8 ELA (244)	69	52	27	35	4	14	506.9	499.8	46.6	49.9	75
Gr 8 Math (244)	71	46	25	41	4	12	511.0	499.0	55.7	49.9	86
Gr 8 Science (243)	58	46	37	41	5	13	503.9	498.2			72

Achieven	nent Dai	ta Annu	al Comp	arison:	Wilmingt	on Midd	le Schoo	l Grades	6-8	
			(	All Stud	lents)					
Subject and		ting or Ex	_		artially Me	U	% Not Meeting Expectations			
# Students		Expectation			Expectation			T		
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	
Gr 6 ELA	72	54	69	27	38	36	2	8	5	
(252)										
Gr 6 Math	68	63	71	28	30	38	4	7	10	
(249)										
Gr 7 ELA	57	57	57	36	38	34	7	6	9	
(266)										
Gr 7 Math	55	69	63	40	27	28	5	4	9	
(266)										
Gr 8 ELA	61	54	69	37	34	27	2	12	4	
(244)										
Gr 8 Math	56	61	71	38	31	25	5	8	4	
(244)										
Gr 8 Science			58			37			5	
(243)										

Achiev	ement D	ata Stai	te Comp	arison:	Wilming	gton Mi	ddle Sch	hool Gre	ades 6-8	(High	Needs)		
Subject and # Students		ting and eding tations	% Par Mee Expect	_	% Not I Expect	Meeting tations		Avg. Scaled Score				SGP	Ach %
	WMS	State	WMS	State	WMS	State	WMS	State	WMS	State			
Gr 6 ELA (73)	25	33	58	43	18	24	488.9	489.3	38.2	47.7	39		
Gr 6 Math (73)	41	31	42	49	16	19	494.2	490.2	50.9	47.7	60		
Gr 7 ELA (81)	22	27	51	48	27	25	482.5	487.7	44.5	47.5	29		
Gr 7 Math (81)	30	26	43	49	27	25	488.1	486.3	56.8	48.4	54		
Gr 8 ELA (51)	41	28	45	45	14	26	492.8	486.7	47.6	47.4	69		
Gr 8 Math (51)	37	34	45	52	18	24	495.1	486.9	57.5	48.1	80		
Gr 8 Science (51)	29	24	57	52	14	24	491.0	486.0			65		

Achieven	nent Dai	ta Annu	al Comp	arison:	Wilmingt	on Midd	le Schoo	l Grades	6-8				
	(High Needs)												
Subject and		ting or Ex	_		artially Me		% Not Meeting Expectations						
# Students		Expectation			<b>Expectation</b>			T					
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019				
Gr 6 ELA	38	21	25	52	56	58	10	23	18				
(73)													
Gr 6 Math	30	26	41	56	51	42	15	23	16				
(73)													
Gr 7 ELA	21	27	22	56	52	51	23	21	27				
(81)													
Gr 7 Math	23	38	30	59	46	43	18	16	27				
(81)													
Gr 8 ELA	28	20	41	63	46	45	10	34	14				
(51)													
Gr 8 Math	22	25	37	58	53	45	21	32	18				
(51)													
Gr 8 Science			29			57			14				
(51)													

#### **Wilmington High School**

The following tables summarize MCAS accountability and achievement data for Wilmington High School. Students were tested in grade 9 (science) and grade 10 (ELA and math). Students are required to reach a state-established competency determination level on all three of these tests in order to receive their high school diploma.

Accoun	tability Data: Wi	lmington H	ligh Schoo	ol Grades 9	9 & 10 (Al	ll Students	')				
Indicator			All students			Performing S					
		,	les 9 & 10 – V		(Grades 9 & 10 – WHS)						
		Points	Total	Weight %	Points	Total	Weight %				
		earned	possible		earned	possible					
			points			points					
Achievement	ELA	4	4		1	4					
	Math	3	4		4	4					
	Science	3	4		2	4					
	Achievement Total	10	12	47.5	7	12	67.5				
Growth	ELA	2	4		1	4					
	Math	2	4		1	4					
	Growth Total	4	8	22.5	2	8	22.5				
High school	4-Yr Cohort	3	4								
completion	Graduation Rate										
	Extended	4	4								
	Engagement Rate										
	Annual Dropout	3	4								
	Rate										
	HS Completion	10	12	20.0							
	Total										
Progress toward	EL Proficiency										
English proficiency	Total										
Additional	Chronic	0	4		1	4					
Indicators	Absenteeism										
	Advanced	1	4								
	coursework										
	completed										
	Additional	1	8	10.0	0	4	10.0				
	Indicators Total										
Weighted Total		7.8	10.7		5.2	10.3					
% of Possible Points		73				1%					
	2018 and 2019 Criterion-Referenced			2018 = 67% /	2019 = 62%						
	Target Percentage										
	Cumulative Criterion-Referenced Target			64%							
Percentage (2018 X 4	0%) + (2019 X 60%)	Substantial progress toward targets									

Accountabili	ty Data: Wilming	ton High School Gra	ades 9 & 10 (High N	leeds Subgroup)				
Indicator		High Needs Students						
			(Grades 9 & 10 – WHS)					
		Points earned	Total possible points	Weight %				
Achievement	ELA	0	4					
	Math	1	4					
	Science	1	4					
	Achievement Total	2	12	47.5				
Growth	ELA	1	4					
	Math	2	4					
	Growth Total	3	8	22.5				

High school	4-Yr Cohort	3	4				
completion	Graduation Rate						
	Extended	4	4				
	Engagement Rate						
	Annual Dropout	0	4				
	Rate						
	HS Completion	7	8	10.0			
	Total						
Progress toward	EL Proficiency						
English proficiency	Total						
Additional	Chronic	0	4				
Indicators	Absenteeism						
	Advanced	2	4				
	coursework						
	completion						
	Additional	2	8	10.0			
	Indicators Total						
Weighted Total	·	3.2	10.7				
% of Possible Points		30	0%				
2018 and 2019 Criteri	on-Referenced Target	<u> </u>	2018 = 82% / 2019 = 30%				
Percentage	_						
Cumulative Criterion-	Referenced Target	51%					
Percentage (2018 X 4	0%) + (2019 X 60%)	Sub	stantial progress toward tai	rgets			

A	Chieven	nent Da	ta State	Compa	rison: W	<sup>7</sup> ilmingt	on High	Schoo	l Grades	9 & 10	l
					(All Stu	dents)					
Subject	% Meet	ing and	% Partially			% Not Meeting		Avg. Scaled		SGP	Ach %
and #	Exce	eding	Mee	Meeting		tations	Sco	ore			
Students	Expect	tations	Expect	Expectations							
	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	
Gr 10	78	61	19	31	3	8	516.4	506.2	49.6	49.4	81
ELA											
(193)											
Gr 10	73	59	24	33	3	9	511.3	505.1	47.3	49.7	71
Math											
(193)											
	% Adva	nced or	% N	eeds	% Fa	iling	C	PI	Avg.	SGP	
	Profi	cient	Improv	vement							
	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	
Gr 9/10	87	74	10	20	3	5	94.1	89.1			
STE											
(186)											

Achievem	Achievement Data Annual Comparison: Wilmington High School Grades 9 & 10										
(All Students)											
Subject and % Meeting or Exceeding % Partially Meeting % Not Meeting Expectations											
# Students	E	Expectations Expectations									
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019		
Gr 10 ELA			78			19			3		
(193)											
Gr 10 Math			73			24			3		
(193)											
	% Adva	nced or Pi	roficient	% Ne	eds Improv	ement		% Failing	g		
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019		
Gr 9 / 10 Science	78	82	87	20	17	10	2	2	3		
(186)											

A	Chieven	nent Da	ta State	-	rison: W h Needs	U	U	h Schoo	l Grades	s 9 & 10	
Subject and # Students	% Meeting and Exceeding Expectations		% Meeting and  % Partially  Meeting		% Not Meeting Expectations		Avg. Scaled Score		Avg. SGP		Ach %
	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	
Gr 10 ELA (38)	45	36	39	46	16	18	500.0	492.6	39.9	43.6	70
Gr 10 Math (38)	40	33	45	48	16	19	497.8	491.6	42.3	44.8	73
		nced or cient		% Needs Improvement		% Failing		CPI		SGP	
	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	WHS	State	
Gr 9/10 STE (34)	61	52	21	35	18	12	78.7	79.0			

Achievement Data Annual Comparison: Wilmington High School Grades 9 & 10									
(High Needs Subgroup)									
Subject and # Students		ting or Execution	0		artially Me Expectation		% Not	Meeting Ex	pectations
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019
Gr 10 ELA (38)			45			39			16
Gr 10 Math (38)	1		40			45	I		16
	% Advanced or Proficient		% Ne	% Needs Improvement			% Failin	g	
	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019	2017	2018	2019
Gr 9 / 10 Science (34)	35	49	61	52	45	21	13	6	18

#### **Data from Comparable Districts**

The DESE provides the public with access to the District Analysis and Review Tool (DART) to allow school districts to easily compare themselves to other communities within the Commonwealth with similar performance histories and demographic profiles. The DESE has identified the ten districts in the table below as comparable with Wilmington. The following tables provide accountability and achievement data for Wilmington's DART districts.

Demographic Information				
District	Enrollment	% SWD	% Econ Dis	% EL
Easton	3,632	18.3	12.9	1.8
Franklin	5,198	18.9	9.7	1.6
Grafton	3,173	18.9	11.8	1.5
Hanover	2,645	17.8	8.4	0.9
Longmeadow	2,874	18.5	7.0	0.8
Marblehead	3,051	19.3	9.4	4.0
Marshfield	4,060	17.0	11.8	1.1
North Reading	2,398	19.4	7.8	0.5
Pentucket	2,437	20.5	12.0	0.5
Reading	4,210	17.2	8.3	1.0
Wilmington	3,197	19.0	9.2	0.9

Accountability Status – District Comparisons					
District	Overall Classification	Reason for Classification	Cumulative criterion- referenced target percentage		
North Reading	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Meeting or exceeding targets	80%		
Pentucket	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	67%		
Reading	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	67%		
Hanover	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	65%		
Wilmington	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	65%		
Marblehead	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	64%		
Marshfield	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	64%		
Longmeadow	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	63%		
Grafton	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	61%		
Easton	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	59%		
Franklin	Not requiring assistance or intervention	Substantial progress toward targets	56%		

Grades 3-8 Achievement District Comparisons – ELA (All Students)					
District	% Meeting or Exceeding	% Partially Meeting	% Not Meeting		
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations		
North Reading	75	23	3		
Marshfield	73	24	3		
Longmeadow	71	24	5		
Reading	68	28	4		
Franklin	67	28	5		
Grafton	67	27	6		
Easton	66	29	5		
Marblehead	65	30	5		
Hanover	62	33	5		
Wilmington	59	35	6		
Pentucket	52	39	9		

Grades 3-8 Achievement District Comparisons – Math (All Students)					
District	% Meeting or Exceeding	% Partially Meeting	% Not Meeting		
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations		
North Reading	73	24	3		
Marshfield	71	26	3		
Longmeadow	68	29	4		
Wilmington	67	27	6		
Franklin	65	29	5		
Easton	64	31	4		
Reading	64	32	5		
Marblehead	63	31	5		
Hanover	62	33	4		
Grafton	61	32	7		
Pentucket	48	43	9		

Grade 10 Achievement District Comparisons – ELA (All Students)					
District	% Meeting or Exceeding	% Partially Meeting	% Not Meeting		
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations		
Marblehead	79	20	1		
Longmeadow	78	20	2		
Marshfield	78	19	2		
North Reading	78	20	2		
Wilmington	77	19	4		
Pentucket	76	22	2		
Easton	75	23	2		
Franklin	75	21	3		
Hanover	71	27	2		
Reading	70	26	4		
Grafton	68	28	4		

Grade 10 Achievement District Comparisons – Math (All Students)					
District	% Meeting or Exceeding	% Partially Meeting	% Not Meeting		
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations		
Marblehead	78	17	5		
Longmeadow	77	17	5		
Easton	74	22	3		
North Reading	74	23	3		
Reading	74	21	4		
Marshfield	73	23	4		
Grafton	72	23	5		
Hanover	72	25	3		
Wilmington	71	26	4		
Franklin	69	25	6		
Pentucket	64	33	4		